

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/898,470	07/22/97	AVIV		D	0492/1A214US
					**
DARBY & DARBY		LM02/1001	\neg	EXAMINER	
			I	BRITTON	I, H
ATTN: SCOTT L 805 THIRD AVE				ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK NY 1	0022			2713	3
L				DATE MAILED:	10/01/98

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/898,470

Applicant(s)

D. G. Aviv

Examiner

Howard W. Britton

Group Art Unit 2713



in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire		This action is FINAL .
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisi 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	nerits is closed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Of the above, claim(s)	e will cause the	A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>THREE</u> month(s), or thirty days, we slonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will capplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provision 37 CFR 1.136(a).
Of the above, claim(s)		Disposition of Claims
□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claims are subject to restriction or election req Application Papers ☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is bapproved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) freceived in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	e application.	☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application is a pending i
Claim(s) 1	m consideration.	Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from cons
Claim(s)		☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed.
Claims are subject to restriction or election req Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All	1.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on	d to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	n requirement.	☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requi
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:
		 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Application/Control Number: 08/898,470 Page 2

Art Unit: 2713

- 1. New formal drawings are required in this application because of reasons stated by the official draftsperson on form PTO-948, enclosed. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4 of U. S. Patent No. 5,666,157 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent, and could lead to possibilities of harassment due to multiple licensing or assignments and differing questions of file wrapper estoppel.

Application/Control Number: 08/898,470 Page 3

Art Unit: 2713

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application claim 1 recites the inherent method of operation of the structure recited in patent claims 1-4.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

POMERLEAU

5,091,780

MAENO

5,283,644.

- 4. Claim 1 is allowable over the art of record.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard W. Britton whose telephone number is (703) 305-4724. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 08/898,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 2713

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tommy Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4715. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-5399.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communication intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-5399, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application/Control Number: 08/898,470

Page 5

Art Unit: 2713

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park
II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor
(Receptionist).

09-25-98 hwb

PRIMARY EXAMINER